UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA TANZANIA COMMUNICATIONS REGULATORY AUTHORITY ISO 9001: 2015 CERTIFIED



TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS

FOR

DOT TZ CENTRAL REGISTRY ACCESS

Document Number: TS008

Version: 1.0

Date: February 2021

TS 008 Version: 1.0

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| PART 1: Introduction | 3 |
|---|----|
| PART 2: Scope and Purpose | 3 |
| PART 3: Definitions and Abbreviations | 4 |
| PART 4: References | 4 |
| PART 5: Communication Protocol | 5 |
| PART 6: Login | 5 |
| PART 7: Identifier Rules | 6 |
| PART 8: Deletion of Unused Contacts and Nameserver Sets; Protective Period for Deleted Objects | 6 |
| PART 9: Technical Test Description | 7 |
| PART 10: Central Registry Communication | 8 |
| 10.1 EPP PROTOCOL SCHEMES | 10 |
| 10.2 Description of Individual Functions | 11 |
| PART 11: Document Administration | 11 |
| 11.1 Amendment | 11 |
| 11.2 Enforcement | 11 |
| 11.3 Publication | 11 |

PART 1: Introduction

The Tanzania Communications Regulatory Authority (TCRA), established under the Tanzania Communications Regulatory Authority Act No.12 of 2003, is mandated among other duties pursuant to Section 79 of the Electronic and Postal Communications Act, No.3 of 2010 hereby stipulating as follows:

The Authority shall regulate all electronic communication numbering and electronic addresses and ensure efficient use by -

- (a) performing proper planning, allocations and monitoring;
- (b) maintaining the national electronic communication numbering and electronic address register for all carriers and operators in respect of resources which have been assigned;
- (c) performing an oversight role on the management of country's code Top Level Domain (ccTLD); and
- (d) maintaining electronic address register of electronic numbers assigned to service providers and their subscribers list.

TCRA is the sponsoring entity delegated by the Internet Corporation for Assigned Names and Numbers (ICANN) to administer and manage the dot tz domain name registry. The management of the registry is governed by the Electronic and Postal Communications (Domain Name Management) Regulations, 2020. In the adopted 3R model which consist of Registrants, Registrars and Registry where by registrars are given secure access to the registry to register domain names, attach contacts to these names, and create name server sets and key sets. Any changes to these details are done by registrars on behalf of the registry.

All accredited Registrars and prospective Registrars should use these technical specifications to connect to the Central Registry.

PART 2: Scope and Purpose

This document describes communication between registrars and the central registry. Registrars may communicate using any tools compatible with conditions set out in this document.

PART 3: Abbreviations

DNS Domain Name System

ENUM TElecommunications NUmber Mapping

EPP Extensible Provisioning Protocol

ICANN Internet Corporation for Assigned Names and Numbers

NIN National Identification Number

RFC Request For Comments

TCP/IP Transmission Control Protocol/Internet Protocol

TLS Transport Layer Security

VAT Value-Added Tax

XML Extensible Markup Language

XSD XML Schema Definition

PART 4: References

Table 1: References

| S/N | Reference | Title | | | |
|-----|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| | No. | | | | |
| 1. | RFC 3730 | Internet Engineering Task Force published specifications | | | |
| | | for the Extensible Provisioning Protocol | | | |
| 2. | RFC 3734 | Internet Engineering Task Force published specifications | | | |
| | | for Extensible Provisioning Protocol Transport Over TCP | | | |
| 3. | RFC 3733 | Internet Engineering Task Force published specifications | | | |
| | | for Extensible Provisioning Protocol Contact Mapping | | | |
| 4. | RFC 3732 | Internet Engineering Task Force published specifications | | | |
| | | for Extensible Provisioning Protocol Host Mapping | | | |
| 5. | RFC 3731 | Internet Engineering Task Force published specifications | | | |
| | | for Extensible Provisioning Protocol Domain Name | | | |
| | | Mapping | | | |
| 6. | RFC 1035 | Internet Engineering Task Force published specifications | | | |
| | | for Domain Names - Implementation And Specification | | | |

PART 5: Communication Protocol

- a) The Extensible Provisioning Protocol (EPP) is used as communication protocol. The EPP is a XML-based protocol. See RFC 3730 (http://www.rfc-archive.org/getrfc rfc=3730) for a description of its basic properties and propagation methods.
- b) Transport layer for the EPP messages is provided by TCP/IP protocol, secured by TLS. EPP communication via TCP/IP is defined in RFC 3734 (http://www.rfc-archive.org/getrfc?rfc=3734).
- c) Commands used for the Contact object are based on an EPP extension for contacts, defined in RFC 3733 (http://www.rfc-archive.org/getrfc?rfc=3733 [http://www.rfc-archive.org/getrfc?rfc=3731]). Changes in this specification include addition of new optional items in the announcement Email: The Taxipayer Identification Number (TIN), The national identification number (NIN), one postal address instead of two and a list of contacts held by the registrar.
- d) Commands used for the Name server group object are partially based on an EPP extension for general servers, defined in RFC 3732 (http://www.rfc-archive.org/getrfc?rfc=3732). A list of name server groups held by the registrar is a specific extension.
- e) Commands used for the Domain and ENUM domain object are based on an EPP extension for domain names, defined in RFC 3731 (http://www.rfc-archive.org/getrfc?rfc=3731). Changes in this specification include replacement of list of nameservers by a link to nameserver group object, limitation of domain transfer which cannot be delayed and must be done immediately, simplification of the contact list to a single contact type (admin) and list of domains held by the registrar.
- f) An ENUM domain also includes date of validation.
- g) Links to these topics are provided in the attachment.

PART 6: Login

- a) Each individual EPP communication requires registrar to authenticate themselves using their Username and password within the EPP command login. Username and password are assigned to registrars by TCRA.
- b) The TLS process requires a client certificate issued by TCRA. The certificate fingerprint will be included into the registry for

authentication process. The system accepts commercial certificates issued by any recognize certification authority or certificates generated directly by the TCRA. In the test mode, only certificates issued by the TCRA may be used.

PART 7: Identifier Rules

- a) Identifiers for objects (ID for contacts and nameserver groups and name for domains) may be created using rules defined in this document and in XSD schemas.
- b) The domain name corresponds to standard RFC 1035 (http://www.rfc-archive.org/getrfc?rfc=1035). The registry ignores case, all upper case letters are changed to lower case. The registry ignores case, all upper case letters are changed to lower case.
- c) The contact handle: the registry ignores case, all lower case letters are changed to upper case.
- d) The nameserver group handle: the registry ignores case, all lower case letters are changed to upper case.
- e) Though not mandatory, TCRA recommends the following form to be adopted when naming:
 - i. **CONTACTS** identifiers: **C- <ORGANIZATIONSERIAL_No**.>. Example, C-TCRA01
 - ii. NAME SERVER SETS identifiers: NS-<ORGANIZATIONSERIAL_No.>. Example, NS-TCRA01

PART 8: Deletion of Unused Contacts and Nameserver Sets; Protective Period for Deleted Objects

- a) Contacts that have not been assigned during the period of 6 preceding months to any domain name or name server set and where no change has been performed are deleted by the central registry.
- b) Name server sets that have not been assigned during the period of 6 preceding months to any domain name or and where no change has been performed are deleted by the central registry.
- c) Contacts and name server sets that are deleted by the central registry because they were not used or by a registrar using an appropriate EPP command are put to a protective period of 2 months from the deletion date.

- d) Within the protective period, the identifier (handle) of the given contact or name server set cannot be used as an identifier for a newly registered object (contact, name server set).
- e) Once the protective period expires, the identifier (handle) can be used again to register a new contact or a name server set.

PART 9: Technical Test Description

Technical tests of nameserver set are done to monitor status of nameservers to which domain names are delegated. Tests do not have influence on including or excluding a domain to/from a zone. Test results are only used as information for nameserver set administrators or registrars.

Technical tests include individual tests applied to a nameserver within a nameserver set in a certain order. Each test is focused on a single specific item (nameserver property). The test results are status messages:

- Passed test
- Did not pass test
- Results unknown

The last result is a status when test ended in an unexpected error or unexpected circumstance, which prevents results from being evaluated as passed/did not pass.

Table 2. Individual tests

| SN | Test Name | Importance | Prerequisite Tests | Characteristics |
|----|---------------|------------|------------------------|---|
| 1. | Existence | 1 | | Tests whether DNS is running |
| 2. | Presence | 2 | Existence | Tests presence of domain record at the DNS server |
| 3. | Authoritative | 3 | Existence, Presence | Tests whether DNS server's reply to a given domain is authoritative |
| 4. | Autonomous | 4 | | At least two DNS servers must be part of different autonomous systems |
| 5. | Recursive | 5 | | Tests whether a DNS server is recursive based on what it says about itself |

| SN | Test Name | Importance | Prerequisite Tests | Characteristics |
|----|---------------|------------|-----------------------|--|
| 6. | Recursive4all | 5 | Existence | Tests whether a DNS server is recursive based on a practical test. |
| 7. | Heterogeneous | 6 | Existence | At least two software- different DNS servers. |

Test name is a unique single-word name of the test, which describes the nameserver property being tested.

Test Importance specifies how important the test is. The importance is represented by a 0 to 10 scale. The lower the number the more important the test is. There are no tests with 0 importance, the highest importance used at the moment is 6. The default setting for technical test level is 3.

Some tests focus on basic functionality of the nameserver and some focus on details, not passing these does not represent a threat to a domain delegation.

PART 10: Central Registry Communication

The table contains a description, time specification and addressees of individual communication types from the Central registry, including poll messages intended for registrars.

Table 3. Central registry communication

| SN | Туре | When | Addressee | Note |
|----|--------------|--|------------------------------|---|
| 1. | Notification | After domain change implementation | Holder's email | |
| 2. | Notification | After contact change implementation | Contact's Email | |
| 3. | Notification | After nameserver set change implementation | Technical contact's Email | |
| 4. | Notification | After registrar change implementation | Holder's email | Both new and previous registrar receive as poll message |

| SN | Туре | When | Addressee | Note |
|-----|--|--|--|---|
| 5. | Sending of domain authorization information | After domain change implementation | Holder's email | |
| 6. | Sending of contact authorization information | After contact change implementation | Contact's email | |
| 7. | Sending of nameserver set authorization information | After nameserver set change implementation | Technical contact's email | |
| 8. | Validation | 30 days prior to expiration of validation date | | Registrar also receives as a poll message |
| 9. | Validation | 30 days prior to expiration of validation date | Holder, administrative contacts | |
| 10. | Validation | On the day of validation expiration | Holder, administrative contacts | Registrar also receives as a poll message |
| 11. | Expiration | 30 days prior to expiration date | Holder, administrative contacts | Registrar also receives as a poll message |
| 12. | Withdrawal from zone for expiration | 30 days after the expiration date | Holder, administrative, technical contacts of the nameserver set | Registrar also receives as a poll message |
| 13. | Withdrawal from zone – validation | On the day of validation expiration | Holder, administrative, technical contacts of the nameserver set | Registrar also receives as a poll message |
| 14. | Cancellation of domain name | 60 days after the expiration | Holder, administrative, technical contacts | Registrar also receives as a poll message |

| SN | Туре | When | Addressee | Note |
|-----|---|-----------------------------------|---|---|
| | | | of the nameserver set | |
| 15. | Cancellation of unused contact or nameserver set | On the day of cancellation | Technical contact of nameserver set | Registrar also receives as a poll message |
| 16. | Technical test results | Upon request | | Registrar also receives as a poll |
| 17. | Technical test results | Periodical (usually monthly) | Technical contacts of relevant nameserver set | Registrar also receives as a poll message |
| 18. | Invoices | Monthly | Registrar | Invoice in pdf or xml |
| 19. | Invoices for advanced payment received | After advance payment is received | Registrar | Invoice in pdf or xml |

10.1 EPP PROTOCOL SCHEMES

- a) XSD scheme importing all other definition files: http://karibu.tz/schema/production/all-1.2.xsd
- b) XSD scheme for entering EPP commands. http://karibu.tz/schema/production/epp-1.0.xsd
- c) XSD scheme for basic EPP protocol data types. http://karibu.tz/schema/production/eppcom-1.0.xsd
- d) XSD scheme with contact administration extension. http://karibu.tz/schema/production/contact-1.2.xsd
- e) XSD scheme with nameserver group administration extension. http://karibu.tz/schema/production/nsset-1.2.xsd
- f) XSD scheme with domain administration extension. http://karibu.tz/schema/production/domain-1.2.xsd
- g) XSD scheme with enum domain administration extension. http://karibu.tz/schema/production/enumval-1.1.xsd
- h) XSD scheme with more functions added on top of EPP standard http://karibu.tz/schema/production/fred-1.0.xsd
- i) XSD scheme with common structures on top of eppcom http://karibu.tz/schema/production/fredcom-1.1.xsd

10.2 Description of Individual Functions

Description of individual EPP functions and meaning of their parameters, together with limiting conditions for their values is available at http://karibu.tz/upload/constr.html.

PART 11: Document Administration

11.1 Amendment

TCRA may from time-to-time, review, and update or modify this document to ensure its continued service and to meet the international and/or national performance requirements as necessary

11.2 Enforcement

This document is enforced by appropriate provisions of the TCRA Act, 2003, the Electronic and Postal Communications Act, 2010 and the Electronic and Postal Communications (Domain Name Management) Regulations, 2020 and effective from the date it has been published.

11.3 Publication

This document shall be published on the TCRA website https://www.tcra.go.tz for public information, compliance and reference purposes.